

POST-KEYNESIAN STUDY GROUP ANNUAL WORKSHOP, SOAS, 29 MAY 2015

The relationship between the expansion of the banking sector and export specialisation in developing countries

INTRATO!

MUMMMM -

John Ebireri[†] and Alberto Paloni^{*}

*Nottingham Trent University*University of Glasgow

Motivation

- Context: Finance and growth research
- Channel: finance (banking sector development) and export specialisation
- Mainstream theory
 - Financial development disproportionally favours external finance dependent sectors/firms innovative and technologically advanced \rightarrow shift in comparative advantage \rightarrow product diversification in the export basket
- Heterodox theory
 - Deeper financial sectors will not help (may even hinder) innovation and technological progress

Research question

- What evidence is there about the relationships between banking sector development and (1) sophistication of export baskets and (2) their product diversification?
 - Relatively unexplored area of research

Finance and technological progress

- Mainstream view: developed financial systems are 'wise'
 - They mobilise savings, reduce transaction and monitoring costs, facilitate risk diversification and the acquisition of information about investment projects

 \rightarrow investment \uparrow and more productive

• Financial development favours activities more dependent on external finance

Manufacturing

Sector with more limited endowments of tangible assets

Activities with high up-front fixed costs (e.g., exports)

"Financial development allows new ideas to develop and challenge existing ones" (Rajan and Zingales, 1998)

- Theoretical shortcoming: modelling/conceptualisation of technological advancement
 - Mainstream: technological development depends mostly on technological transfer (through foreign direct investment)
 - This overlooks two essential aspects of technological progress:
 - Centrality of learning
 - The tacit character of knowledge/technology
 - Technological progress takes place mainly through learning
 - □ Finding technological alternatives, selecting a technology, learning how to use it efficiently, i.e., adapting it to developing countries' conditions
 - \rightarrow Access to foreign technology does not immediately entail its effective use
 - Assimilation and absorption of foreign technology requires an understanding of the tacit elements of technology
 - Success is based on capabilities (learning) developed through training and experience and investment in technological change.

- This process involves costs and risks
 - →Even a developed financial system is unlikely to channel finance towards these investments
- Informational asymmetries
 - $\,\circ\,\,$ Lack of a track record of managers' entrepreneurial skills
 - Little incentive for the innovator to disclose inside information about the project (the innovator may be copied)
- Fundamental uncertainty
 - \circ Uncertainty about profitability of innovative projects
 - Not just difficulty for outside funders to distinguish between high and low value opportunities
- Learning rents
 - As the acquisition of tacit knowledge requires learning-by-doing, production will involve initial periods of loss making which will need to be financed

- Financial liberalisation intensifies market failures: bank finance driven by short termism
 - Projects with front loaded returns are favoured
 - Entrepreneurial learning is discouraged
 - High risk premia for projects related to technological advancement
 - Finance is available for firms which invest in (lower risk) known technologies
- → Financial development does not ameliorate market failures that prevent funding of innovative projects and could make matters worse

- Moreover, as the tacit element of technology is more important for more sophisticated goods, generalised intervention (e.g., trade and financial liberalisation, human capital investment) would most likely cause countries to exploit their existing comparative advantages
 - It is sectors which are already internationally competitive that have access to funding
 - Countries specialise in technologies and products with lower learning potential (low tech, low value added)
 - → Financial development leads to a reduction in the degree of sophistication

- Financialisation → radical transformation of the relationship between financial institutions and both non-financial corporations and households
 - Financialisation is particularly strong in middle income countries but is also relevant in many low income countries where significant proportion of total banking assets are foreign owned (in Africa)
 - Banks lend to the government and household sectors (more profitable and less risky)
 - The expansion of lending to the household sector often a response to the entry of foreign banks – has led to a contraction in business lending

- Diversification of the export basket
 - No theoretical model of reference for the determinants of export diversification
 - Mainstream story: Financial development relaxes liquidity constraints
 → increase in number of exporters → diversification
 - On the other hand, if banks provide finance for activities where the country is already competitive → concentration

Empirical analysis

- Export sophistication
 - o EXPY (Hausmann et al, 2007)
 - Constructed in two stages: (1) the productivity level of each good ('index of revealed technology content of a product'); (2) *EXPY* (the productivity level of a country's export basket)
 - The share of technology and skill-intensive manufactured exports
- Export concentration
 - $\circ~$ Herfindahl index of product concentration
- Banking sector depth
 - Ratio of liquid liabilities of the financial system to GDP ('LIQ')
 - Ratio of total claims of deposit money banks on domestic nonfinancial sector to GDP ('SIZE')
 - Ratio of domestic credit by deposit money banks to private firms to GDP ('DEV')

- Share of deposit money bank claims in the total claims of domestic money banks and central bank on domestic non-financial sector ('CBN')
- Composite indicator 1: The sum of standardised values of private credit to GDP and liquid liabilities to GDP ('FID')
- Composite indicator 2: The sum of standardised values of all four basic indicators ('FinDev')

Table 1: EXPY index, descriptive statistics

	1985	1990	1995	2000
Minimum	2128.14	1782.90	2095.96	1960.96
1 st quartile	3483.92	4027.40	4240.78	5099.87
Median	5047.77	5277.91	5767.42	6269.16
Mean	5523.74	5677.13	6170.62	7040.14
3 rd quartile	6569.83	7087.11	7794.71	8966.26
Maximum	12082.10	11445.10	12921.40	14183.30
Standard deviation	2432.69	2228.63	2737.76	3052.08

Table 2: Share of high-tech exports, descriptive statistics

	1995	2000	2005	2010					
Minimum	0.102	0.014	0.046	0.154					
1 st quartile	0.667	0.832	1.123	2.406					
Median	1.727	2.552	3.549	5.447					
Mean	4.001	3.859	5.429	7.388					
3 rd quartile	6.032	6.118	8.128	11.300					
Maximum	19.512	17.057	26.721	38.271					
Standard deviation	4.717	3.858	5.618	6.845					
Notes: Values in percentages	Notes: Values in percentages								

Table 3: Index of export concentration, descriptive statistics

	1995	2000	2005	2010
Minimum	7.00	7.72	8.63	8.57
1 st quartile	21.58	21.27	18.67	16.99
Median	30.84	29.83	28.41	26.90
Mean	35.62	35.81	35.03	33.26
3 rd quartile	49.61	49.85	45.98	44.43
Maximum	85.00	92.76	91.71	85.41
Standard deviation	20.17	20.13	21.00	19.26
Notes: Values in percentages				

	Share of DMB claims			Liquid liabilities			Total claims on real sector			ector			
	1985	1990	2000	2010	1985	1990	2000	2010		1985	1990	2000	2010
Minimum	15.57	22.55	14.46	49.52	4.46	5.52	8.34	13.00		2.91	3.26	5.23	6.45
1 st Quartile	56.57	60.98	70.45	82.32	20.05	19.38	21.86	29.35		19.23	16.10	19.24	23.36
Median	71.28	72.20	82.88	89.38	27.96	29.19	37.57	41.39		28.02	23.58	32.38	34.26
Mean	69.88	71.22	77.89	87.28	34.99	34.96	42.57	52.24		32.21	30.52	40.55	45.60
3 rd Quartile	88.35	89.53	92.01	95.54	43.02	40.22	52.34	70.49		36.59	39.15	57.39	62.03
Maximum	99.35	100.00	99.94	100.00	110.28	122.14	116.72	129.13		119.64	102.95	131.60	121.44
Standard deviation	20.57	19.41	19.03	11.77	23.27	24.61	27.63	30.54		22.32	21.27	30.51	30.60
	Pr	ivate se	ctor crea	dit	Intermediary development			Financial development			ent		
	1985	1990	2000	2010	1985	1990	2000	2010		1985	1990	2000	2010
Minimum	1.70	2.39	3.33	4.59	-2.34	-2.20	-2.20	-2.02		-7.02	-5.67	-5.47	-4.42
1 st Quartile	13.72	12.8	14.45	17.17	-1.39	-1.45	-1.24	-0.70		-2.34	-2.49	-1.99	-0.90
Median	20.86	19.92	23.76	23.85	-0.66	-0.74	-0.40	-0.14		-1.24	-1.30	-0.07	0.60
Mean	25.29	24.48	32.19	34.85	-0.42	-0.45	0.20	0.65		-1.14	-1.03	0.42	1.51
3 rd Quartile	29.55	29.73	35.05	45.20	0.14	-0.12	0.61	1.48		-0.46	-0.16	1.50	2.93
Maximum	92.24	78.03	122.80	105.06	4.91	4.43	6.79	6.55		9.15	7.49	11.22	10.90
Standard deviation	18.58	18.07	28.36	26.28	1.39	1.46	2.13	2.08		2.80	2.76	3.77	3.51

Table 4: Indicators of banking sector development, descriptive statistics

Figure 1: Banking sector depth in countries increasing export sophistication (EXPY) more or less quickly, 1985-2000



Figure 2: Banking sector depth in countries increasing export sophistication (High-Tech exports share) more or less quickly, 1995-2010



Figure 3: Banking sector depth in countries increasing export concentration more or less quickly, 1995-2010



	Banking sector development, period average								
	Share of DMB claims	Liquid liabilities	Total claims on NF sector	Private sector credit	FID	Fin Dev			
Sophistication Growth (EXPY)	301* [.094]	295 [.102]	372** [.036]	406** [.021]	347* [.052]	367** [.039]			
Sophistication growth (high-tech exports share)	303** [.013]	237* [.054]	281** [.021]	221* [.072]	229* [.062]	243** [.050]			
Concentration growth	.280** [.022]	.163 [.183]	.212* [.083]	.233* [.055]	.203* [.097]	.152 [.220]			
		Banking secto	or developme	nt, initial four-	year average				
Sophistication Growth (EXPY)	118 [.528]	236 [.209]	375** [.041]	388** [.034]	317* [.088]	260 [.173]			
Sophistication growth (high-tech exports share)	297** [.017]	207* [.094]	210* [.089]	203* [.099]	206* [.095]	208* [.099]			
Concentration growth	.284** [.022]	.168 [.171]	.225* [.065]	.258** [.033]	.225* [.065]	.178 [.157]			

Table 5: Correlations between rates of growth in export sophistication and concentration and indicators of banking sector development

	Banking sector development, final four-year average							
Sophistication	480***	413**	435**	443**	440**	415**		
Growth (EXPY)	[.006]	[.019]	[.013]	[.011]	[.012]	[.020]		
Sophistication growth (high-tech exports share)	221* [.077]	236* [.057]	334*** [.006]	252** [.041]	254** [.039]	249** [.047]		
Concentration	.237*	.190	.190	.216*	.208*	.126		
growth	[.055]	[.124]	[.124]	[.080]	[.091]	[.317]		

	Banking sector development								
	Share of DMB	Liquid	Total claims	Private sector	FID	Fin Dev			
	claims	liabilities	on NF sector	credit					
	458**	054	073*	110*	011*	112***			
	(.214)	(.037)	(.044)	(.062)	(.006)	(.043)			
Lagged	.768***	.763***	.737***	.732***	.741***	.777***			
sophistication	(.114)	(.075)	(.091)	(.091)	(.084)	(.096)			
Trade	.225*	.177**	.198**	.214**	.200***	.280**			
	(.127)	(.076)	(.079)	(.084)	(.078)	(.139)			
Income per capita	9.39E-4**	3.96E-4*	4.83E-4**	4.15E-4*	4.35E-4*	8.91E-4**			
	(4.80E-4)	(2.28E-4)	(2.31E-4)	(2.45E-4)	(2.43E-4)	(4.09E-4)			
Human capital	.214	.204*	.202**	.220**	.199*	.221			
	(.153)	(.121)	(.097)	(.112)	(.106)	(.155)			
Endowments	092**	058***	066***	074***	064***	118**			
	(.042)	(.019)	(.023)	(.025)	(.023)	(.047)			
Foreign direct	.025**	.018***	.019***	.020***	.019***	.027**			
investment	(.012)	(.007)	(.007)	(.007)	(.007)	(.013)			
Wald test	1332.11	3837.33	4973.91	3881.01	4641.64	947.47			
	[.000]	[.000]	[.000]	[.000]	[.000]	[.000]			
Hansen J-test	3.85	3.35	2.63	2.81	3.15	3.54			
	[.427]	[.501]	[.621]	[.590]	[.534]	[.472]			
AR (1) errors	-3.10	-3.27	-3.29	-3.24	-3.20	-3.10			
AR (2) errors	- 17	- 26	-0.24	- 26	- 26	12			
	[.865]	[.791]	[.812]	[.797]	[.793]	[.902]			
No. of observations	847	862	866	865	862	828			

Table 6: Regression results: Export sophistication (log of EXPY), 1985-2000

	Banking sector development								
	Share of DMB	Liquid	Total claims	Private sector	FID	Fin Dev			
	claims	liabilities	on NF sector	credit					
	046*	011**	013	014	002**	007*			
	(.026)	(.005)	(.008)	(.009)	(.001)	(.004)			
Lagged	.781***	.790***	.801***	.791***	.770***	.759***			
sophistication	(.065)	(.078)	(.084)	(.089)	(.079)	(.062)			
Trade	.022	.016*	.016	.017	.019**	.024*			
	(.016)	(.009)	(.010)	(.010)	(.009)	(.015)			
Income per capita	7.81E-5**	4.76E-5*	3.47E-5	3.74E-5	4.43E-5*	1.33E-4***			
	(3.28E-5)	(2.70E-5)	(2.57E-5)	(2.52E-5)	(2.52E-5)	(4.08E-5)			
Human capital	.027	.028*	.026	.026	.032*	.033*			
	(.022)	(.016)	(.019)	(.019)	(.018)	(.018)			
Endowments	008**	003	003	003	003	003**			
	(.004)	(.002)	(.002)	(.002)	(.002)	(.001)			
Foreign direct	.002	.001	.001	.001	.001	.003*			
investment	(.001)	(.001)	(.001)	(.001)	(.001)	(.002)			
Wald test	1651.80	1726.48	2148.48	1753.70	1798.20	2141.57			
However I doot	[.000]	[.000]	[.000]	[.000]	[.000]	[.000]			
Hansen J-test	3.51	13.70	13.46	[230]	12.78	1.61			
AR (1) errors	-2.90	-2.92	-2.93	-2.90	-2.87	-2.90			
	[.004]	[.003]	[.003]	[.004]	[.004]	[.004]			
AR (2) errors	18	16	-0.12	10	10	24			
	[.858]	[.875]	[.902]	[.917]	[.920]	[.808]			
No. of observations	835	851	855	854	851	816			

Table 7: Regression results: Export sophistication (high-tech exports share), 1995-2010

	Banking sector development								
	Share of DMB	Liquid	Total claims on	Private sector	FID	Fin Dev			
	claims	liabilities	NF sector	credit					
	.068	.002**	.002	.006**	.005	.003			
	(.072)	(.001)	(.003)	(.003)	(.004)	(.002)			
Lagged	.772***	.728***	.699***	.757***	.729***	.723***			
concentration	(.082)	(.054)	(.081)	(.060)	(.080)	(.079)			
Trade	055**	050***	057***	046***	056**	056***			
	(.023)	(.018)	(.020)	(.017)	(.023)	(.020)			
Income per capita	015*	011**	013**	010**	010*	012*			
	(.008)	(.005)	(.006)	(.005)	(.006)	(.006)			
Endowments	.006*	.005*	.005*	.005*	.005*	.006			
	(.004)	(.003)	(.003)	(.003)	(.003)	(.004)			
Terms of trade	.060***	.062***	.071***	.057***	.067***	.065***			
growth	(.022)	(.018)	(.020)	(.017)	(.003)	(.020)			
Foreign direct	015**	015***	017**	013***	012**	015**			
investment	(.006)	(.006)	(.007)	(.005)	(.006)	(.006)			
Wald test	3403.97	2672.57	2485.98	3642.18	2449.27	1870.17			
	[.000]	[.000]	[.000]	[.000]	[.000]	[.000]			
Hansen J-test	2.12 [.347]	.55 [.759]	1.23	.95 [.380]	1.73 [.422]	.81 [.669]			
AR (1) errors	-4.29	-4.06	-3.91	-4.01	-4.10	-4.06			
	[.000]	[.000]	[.000]	[.000]	[.000]	[.000]			
AR (2) errors	.92	1.22	1.24	1.24	1.24	.081			
	[.357]	[.224]	[.217]	[.215]	[.217]	[.669]			
No. of observations	931	946	947	949	946	905			

Table 8: Regression results: Export concentration, 1995-2010

Some observations

- Banking sector development forces countries to specialise in accordance with their existing comparative advantage
 - Jaud et al., 2012, Finance, comparative advantage and resource allocation
 - For them, this is a positive point: finance enforces an efficient export composition in line with domestic factor endowment